

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,938	08/02/2006	Shai Stein	STEIN 12	6653
1442 Browdy and Neimark, PLLC 1625 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CATTUNGAL, AJAY P	
Suite 1100 Washington, D	C 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g,			2467	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587.938 STEIN ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit AJAY CATTUNGAL 2467 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) . (1) AJAY CATTUNGAL. (4)____. (2) Ronni Jilions. Date of Interview: 05 April 2012. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative □ No If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 26.30-35 and 44. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) The examiner requested an examiner's amendment for claims 26, 35 and 44 where the last limitation is to be amended to "reserving use of broadband capacity of ech individual permanent communication link untill service agreements are upgraded". Secondly DABT was changed to default advanced broadband technology and thridly claims 30-34 where cancelled. The applicants representative agreed to the changes... Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. □ Attachment

/Pao Sinkantarakom/

Examiner, Art Unit 2467